


# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**


ESG	04
TCFD Reporting	11
Current Emissions	12
Fossil Fuels	16
Temperature Assessment	18
Physical Risk	21
SFDR Principal Adverse Impact Indicators (PAIs)	25




## **PORTFOLIO INTRODUCTION**

**Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets** ► Portfolio: ► Benchmark: **MSCI Emerging Markets MSCI Emerging Markets** ► Investment Universe: USD Currency:





### **Material ESG Issues and Opportunities**



#### ESG Score by Pillar<sup>2</sup>

Score	Portfolio	Benchmark
E	22.28	22.13
S	25.66	25.72
G	31.23	29.13
ESG	79.18	76.99
Coverage	100.0%	99.3%

#### ESG Trend<sup>3</sup>

	Portfolio	Benchmark
Positive	11.05%	12.60 %
Stable	84.94%	83.33 %
Negative	4.01%	3.39 %
Not Rated	-	0.68 %
	100.0%	100.0%

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Score rank is by percentile (10 is the best, 0 being the worst). The score is aggregated based on position weight.

Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Unigestion ESG Scores (stock level) are proprietary scores on a scale of 0 to 100 (worst to best).


<sup>3</sup> Negative (positive) trend indicates downgrade (upgrade) in ESG score. If no noteworthy change, the trend is considered to be stable. Portfolio ESG trend is computed on position weight basis.

#### **Distribution of ESG Scores**

ESG Score by Category						
	Portfolio	Benchmark				
Leader	41.26%	39.16 %				
Outperformer	27.96%	20.47 %				
Average	19.55%	19.10 %				
Underperformer	9.35%	11.34 %				
Laggard	1.88%	9.24 %				
Not Rated	-	0.68 %				



ESG Score Breakdown by Sector										
Sector	Leader	Outperformer	Average	Underperformer	Laggard	Not Rated				
Communication Services	1.39%	2.59 %	2.55%	2.44%	-	-				
Consumer Discretionary	2.43%	6.74 %	4.91%	1.02%	-	-				
Consumer Staples	-	3.02 %	1.59%	0.96%	-	-				
Energy	0.79%	-	2.73%	1.85%	-	-				
Financials	13.66%	6.40 %	6.38%	-	-	-				
Health Care	0.58%	2.17 %	1.40%	1.59%	-	-				
Industrials	1.51%	1.08 %	-	0.44%	-	-				
Materials	0.99%	3.57 %	-	-	-	-				
Real Estate	3.06%	-	-	0.31%	-	-				
Technology	15.02%	1.45 %	-	-	-	-				
Utilities	1.82%	0.93 %	-	0.74%	1.88%	-				



Above table shows the ESG score distribution of the portfolio compared to that of the benchmark. Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.



#### **Best/Worst Contributors**


	Ranl	k Name	Sector	Weight	Company ESG Score Rank	Portfolio ESG Contribution	Relative Position
	1	Taiwan Semiconductor Manufacturing	Technology	4.11%	9.29	0.38	Leader
Best	2	Bajaj Auto	Consumer Discretionary	3.15%	7.83	0.25	Outperformer
B	3	Samsung Electronics	Technology	2.32%	9.36	0.22	Leader
	4	AGRICULTURAL BANK OF CHINA	Financials	2.68%	7.13	0.19	Outperformer
	5	ALDAR PROPERTIES	Real Estate	2.04%	9.13	0.19	Leader

	Rani	k Name	Sector	Weight	Company ESG Score Rank	Portfolio ESG Contribution	Relative Position
	1	CHINA RESOURCES GAS GRP LTD	Utilities	0.20%	1.86	0.00	Laggard
orst	2	Saudi Electricity	Utilities	0.63%	0.88	0.01	Laggard
Wo	3	Dabur India	Consumer Staples	0.31%	2.48	0.01	Underperformer
	4	NINGBO TUOPU GROUP CO LTD	Consumer Discretionary	0.22%	3.84	0.01	Underperformer
	5	DAR AL ARKAN REAL ESTATE DEV	Real Estate	0.31%	2.87	0.01	Underperformer

Best (worst) performers in terms of contribution to ranked ESG score of portfolio, where the ranked scores are aggregated on the basis of weight. Stocks are ranked from best to worst in terms of their contribution, where higher contribution corresponds to better performance. Portfolio ESG Contribution is quoted as the increase in portfolio ESG score from investment in the stock.



#### **Controversial Business Activities**



#### Tobacco

	Portfolio	Benchmark
Production	-	0.22 %
Related Products & Services	-	0.02 %
Retail	0.07%	0.09 %

#### Oil & Gas


	Portfolio	Benchmark
Energy Generation	0.24%	0.23 %
Production	2.77%	3.04 %
Supporting Products/Services	2.60%	0.28 %


Company level involvement in the business activity is the share of revenue made from said business activity. The total levels for each involvement are the weighted averages of involvement levels in percentage of revenue and weight of the portfolio or benchmark. Exposure levels below 10% of revenue share are treated as insignificant. Detailed exposures for Tobacco and Oil & Gas show the weighted averages of revenue share and do not take into account the 10% revenue threshold.

Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.



#### **Social KPIs**





<sup>1</sup> Score-based social KPIs: corruption & bribery and gender pay gap. Company level score is between 0 and 100, where higher score indicates better performance. The total score is the weighted average of the constituent scores.

Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.



#### **Exclusions**

Our Responsible Investment Policy considers two pillars of bottom-up considerations:

#### Pillar I: Norm-Based Screening

Exclude companies associated with key social or environmental issues. According to the European Sustainable Investment Forum, it is the "screening of investments according to their compliance with international standards

#### **Pillar II: Exclusionary Screening**

Exclude companies from an investment universe based on our expectations regarding specific ESG-related risks.

Exclusions		Companies¹	Weight <sup>2</sup>
	Adult Entertainment	-	-
	Controversial Weapons	1	0.42%
Dilloud	Predatory Lending	-	-
Pillar I	Thermal Coal	44	1.74%
	Tobacco	5	0.42%
	UNGC non-compliant	23	7.14%
	High-carbon emitters	44	2.08%
Pillar II	Non-covered	66	0.68%
Pilidi II	Severe Controversy	9	1.32%
	Worst-in-class	87	3.81%
	Total (unique)	212	13.79%
	Universe	1440	100.00%
	% Universe	14.72%	13.79%


Universe refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.




<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Number of companies excluded as a result of screening

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Weight excluded as a result of screening

# TCFD Reporting



# **Current Emissions**



### **CURRENT EMISSIONS**

#### Introduction

The aim of this section is to assess the carbon performance of the portfolio. Firstly, the greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions held within the portfolio are quantified. This is done by apportioning company level GHG emissions to portfolio by ownership. In other words, if the portfolio holds x% of a company, it also holds x% of its emissions. Compared to using pure weights, this computation method paints a more accurate picture of portfolio emissions. To enable crosscompany comparison in terms of emissions, GHG intensity is also presented. This metric counts GHG emissions as a multiple of revenue, correcting for possible company size bias.

Emissions are quoted in tonnes of CO2 equivalents (tCO2e) and apportioned by ownership. Ownership is the ratio of value of holdings to market capitalization or enterprise value. Emissions include scopes 1, 2 and 3 (upstream and downstream).

Scope 1 emissions for a company are considered to be estimated when they are not disclosed and therefore have to be modelled.


GHG intensity is the total scopes 1, 2 and 3 (upstream and downstream) emissions normalized by company revenues and then aggregated using weightedaverage carbon intensity (WACI) method (sums product of each holding's weight with the company level GHG Intensity).


Relative efficiency refers to the efficiency of portfolio versus the benchmark in terms of GHG intensity (Relative Efficiency = 1 - (Portfolio GHG Intensity)/ (Benchmark GHG Intensity))




## **GHG EMISSIONS**

#### **Carbon Footprint and Intensity**







Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.



## **GHG EMISSIONS**

#### **Best/Worst Contributors**

	Name	Sector	Ownership Weight <sup>1</sup>	Carbon Weight <sup>2</sup>	GHG Intensity G (tCO2e/mUSD)		Disclosure
	CHINA CONSTR BANK CORP	Financials	0.00%	0.40%	399.035	0.000	Exact Value from Environmental/CSR
Best	BANK OF CHINA LTD	Financials	0.00%	0.41%	402.757	0.000	Value derived from data provided in Environmental/CSR
B	INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL	Financials	0.01%	0.41%	401.426	0.000	Exact Value from Environmental/CSR
	KOTAK MAHINDRA BANK LTD	Financials	0.10%	0.04%	35.3871	0.000	Value derived from data provided in Annual Report/Financial Accounts Disclosure
	CATHAY FINANCIAL HOLDING CO	Financials	0.06%	0.06%	60.7204	0.000	Value derived from data provided in CDP

	Name	Sector	Ownership Weight <sup>1</sup>	Carbon Weight <sup>2</sup>	GHG Intensity (tCO2e/mUSD)		Disclosure
	ASIA CEMENT CORP	Materials	3.86%	5.03%	4973.81	-0.379	Estimate used instead of disclosure - data does not cover global operations
orst	NAN YA PLASTICS CORP	Materials	0.94%	16.26%	16080	-0.299	Exact Value from CDP
>	PETRONET LNG LTD	Energy	5.72%	2.29%	2264.91	-0.256	Estimated data
	HONGFA TECHNOLOGY CO LTD	Industrials	2.17%	4.81%	4759.35	-0.204	Estimated data
	YANTAI JEREH OILFIELD SVCS	Energy	7.20%	1.25%	1231.28	-0.175	Estimated data

Best (worst) performers in terms of contribution to portfolio GHG intensity, where GHG intensity is aggregated on the basis of ownership. Stocks are ranked from best to worst in terms of their contribution, where higher contribution corresponds to worse performance. GHG Intensity Contribution is quoted as the decrease in portfolio GHG intensity in case of full divestment from the stock.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Ownership weight indicates the ownership-based weight in the portfolio.


<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Carbon weight indicates the GHG intensity share as a percentage of portfolio GHG intensity


# **Fossil Fuels**



## **FOSSIL FUELS**

#### **Fossil Fuels Related Activities**






<sup>1</sup> Portfolio exposure to revenues from fossil fuel related activities, where exposure is computed based on weight. Three categories of fossil fuel activities: Generation, Extraction and Others. Others include distribution, refinement, pipeline transportation, drilling of wells and fossil fuel supporting activities. Company level exposure (bar height) is the level of exposure to companies involved in fossil fuel related activities in terms of their total weight in the portfolio or benchmark. The remaining sections of the bars indicate weighted average revenue exposure to indicated activity. Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.





# Temperature Assessment





### TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT

#### **Warming Level and 'Brown' versus 'Green' Revenues**









<sup>1</sup> Compares portfolio's exposure to 'brown' and 'green' energy related revenues on the basis of weight. Nuclear energy is categorized as other. Total energy exposure (bar height) indicates the total weight in the portfolio/benchmark of companies with energy-related revenues. The remaining sections of the bars indicate the weighted average revenue exposure to indicated energy category.

Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.

Sources: Unigestion, MSCI, S&P Trucost, Sustainalytics



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Warming level of the portfolio. Carbon (under)/over indicates how much the portfolio is above or below the emission budget linked to 2°C scenario (in tonnes of carbon and proportion of total carbon budget of portfolio). Tonnes Carbon (under) or over 2°C /mlnvested (during 10-15 years) within -50 to 50 is assumed as insignificant and therefore equivalent to 0, due to sensitivity of the subject to quantitative measurements.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Revenue exposure to 'green' activities grouped into 9 categories. Exposure is computed using company revenue share generated by 'green' activities and apportioned by portfolio weight.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Compares energy generation mix in GWh apportioned on ownership basis.

## **TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT**

## **Best/Worst Contributors to 2°C Aligned GHG Emission Budget**

	Name	Industry/Sub-industry	2015 tCO2e 2 Intensity	2025 tCO2e Intensity	Intensity Unit	Forecast Source	Total Carbon (ktCO2e)	Apportionned Carbon (tCO2e)	Pathway
	NAN YA PLASTICS CORP	Materials	5990.05	1905.15	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Company Target	-66,001	-1,229	<1.5°C
Best	SK HYNIX INC	Information Technology	602.93	476.99	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Company Target	-306,684	-617	<1.5°C
ă	MISC BERHAD	Industrials	7767.26	5944.96	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Sub-Industry Trend	-17,140	-318	<1.5°C
	ENGIE BRASIL ENERGIA SA	Power Generation	8070.68	3230.30	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Company Target	-5,785	-184	<1.5°C
	CHINA OILFIELD SERVICES LTD	Energy	1760.28	1889.79	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Sub-Industry Trend	-2,026	-100	1.5-2°C

	Name	Industry/Sub-industry	2015 tCO2e 2 Intensity	2025 tCO2e Intensity	Intensity Unit	Forecast Source	Total Carbon (ktCO2e)	Apportionned Carbon (tCO2e)	Pathway
	PETROLEO BRASILEIRO SA- PETR	Energy	2819.90	1565.16	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Company Target	359,224	981	>5°C
Worst	COMPAL ELECTRONIC INC	Information Technology	227.56	958.75	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Company Target	15,289	152	>5°C
×	CHUNGHWA TELECOM LTD	Communication Services	344.76	256.01	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Company Target	3,429	94	>5°C
	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO LTD	Information Technology	162.60	145.02	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Company Target	31,992	84	3-4°C
	PEGATRON CORP	Information Technology	235.71	367.38	tCO2e/US\$m inflation adjusted gross profits	Sub-Industry Trend	4,002	70	>5°C

The contribution, or apportioned carbon, is computed on ownership bases. Negative values indicate alignment with the 2 °C scenario.




# **Physical Risk**





## **PHYSICAL RISK**


#### **Physical or Tangible Effects of Climate Change**



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Incorporates adjustment for the expected sensitivity of each company to each form of physical risk and level of risk is aggregated on ownership. Physical risk types (8): Extreme Heat, Wildfire, Drought, Coast Flood, Fluvial Flood, Extreme Cold, Water Stress and Tropical Cyclone. At company level, physical risk exposure indicators are on a scale of 0 to 100 (lowest to highest). Exposure used in the chart is for 2050, under a high warming scenario (RCP 8.5). Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.



## **PHYSICAL RISK**



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Based on the sensitivity adjusted score. At company level, physical risk exposure indicators are on a scale of 0 to 100, from lowest to highest under a high warming scenario (RCP 8.5).

Portfolio refers to Uni-Global - Equities Emerging Markets while Benchmark refers to MSCI Emerging Markets.



### **PHYSICAL RISK**

#### **Best/Worst Contributors**

	Name	Sector	Ownership	Composite	Adjusted Composite	Adjusted Composite Contribution (bps)	Data Quality
	CHINA CONSTR BANK CORP	Financials	0.00000%	59	3	0.00	Α
Best	BANK OF CHINA LTD	Financials	0.00001%	60	4	0.00	Α
Ř	INDUSTRIAL AND COMMERCIAL	Financials	0.00001%	60	3	0.00	Α
	AGRICULTURAL BANK OF CHINA	Financials	0.00002%	58	3	-0.01	Α
	BANK OF BEIJING CO LTD	Financials	0.00007%	70	3	-0.02	Α
	Name	Sector	Ownership	Composite	Adjusted Composite	Adjusted Composite Contribution (bps)	Data Quality
	PAGE INDUSTRIES LTD	Consumer Discretionary	0.00559%	72	66	-36.88	В
Worst	YANTAI JEREH OILFIELD SVCS	Energy	0.01423%	65	25	-35.57	В
Š	ASIA CEMENT CORP	Materials	0.00763%	68	43	-32.81	Α
	PETRONET LNG LTD	Energy	0.01131%	71	29	-32.79	Α
	KINGSOFT CO LTD	Communication Services	0.00900%	82	32	-28.79	Α


Best (worst) performers in terms of physical risk using raw and sensitivity adjusted composite physical risk scores.

- Composite score is the raw composite physical risk score of the company without sensitivity adjustment. Composite indicates aggregation of the 8 physical risk types (Coast Floods, Extreme Cold, etc.). At company level, raw physical risk scores (composite) are on a scale of 0 to 100 (lowest to highest).
- Adjusted composite is the raw composite physical risk score adjusted for company sensitivity to physical risk.
- Data quality indicates the quality of data used to determine physical risk score at company level. There are two levels: A and B, where A indicates higher quality.
- Asset count indicates the number of assets assessed at company level to determine company exposure to physical risk. In absence of asset level data, physical risk exposure is determined based on HQ location and revenue share by country, rather than location of assets.

Contribution to portfolio physical risk score is computed on the basis of ownership and is quoted as the basis point decrease in physical risk exposure for the portfolio in the event of full divestment from the stock.



SFDR Principal
Adverse Impact
Indicators (PAIs)



#### **Climate and Other Environment-related Indicators**

	Indicator	Description	Unit of Measure	Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage (%)
Greenhouse gas emissions	1. GHG emissions	Scope 1 GHG emissions	Tonnes	2,066	1,438	100
		Scope 2 GHG emissions	Tonnes	667	513	100
		From 1 January 2023, Scope 3 GHG emissions	Tonnes	13,471	7,869	100
		Total GHG emissions	Tonnes	16,205	9,820	100
	2. Carbon footprint	Carbon footprint	Tonnes / mUSD of Enterprise Value	517	324	100
	3. GHG intensity of investee companies	GHG intensity of investee companies	Tonnes / mUSD of Revenue	883	639	100
	4. Exposure to companies active in the fossil fuel sector	Share of investments in companies active in the fossil fuel sector	%	7	5	100

PAIs are computed year-to-date using monthly arithmetic averages



Source: Unigestion, S&P Trucost, Sustainalytics

#### **Climate and Other Environment-related Indicators**

	Indicator	Description	Unit of Measure	Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage (%)
Greenhouse gas emissions	5. Share of non-renewable energy consumption and production	Share of non-renewable energy consumption and non-renewable energy production of investee companies from non-renewable energy sources compared to renewable energy sources, expressed as a percentage	%	73	68	98
	6. Energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector	Energy consumption in GWh per million USD of revenue of investee companies, per high impact climate sector	GWh / mUSD of Revenue	1	5	61
Biodiversity	7. Activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas	Share of investments in investee companies with sites/operations located in or near to biodiversity-sensitive areas where activities of those investee companies negatively affect those areas *	%	50	57	65
Water	8. Emissions to water	Tonnes of emissions to water generated by investee companies per million USD invested, expressed as a weighted average	Tonnes / mUSD	0	11	39
Waste	9. Hazardous waste ratio	Tonnes of hazardous waste generated by investee companies per million USD invested, expressed as a weighted average	Tonnes / mUSD	1	1,501	37

PAIs are computed year-to-date using monthly arithmetic averages

Source: Unigestion, S&P Trucost, Sustainalytics



<sup>\*</sup> Based on score that measures whether company has a publicly available commitment to maintain, enhance, or conserve biodiversity/ecosystems for company's own operational activities (e.g. production, extraction, plantation, or development activities), and if the commitment is applicable to company's supply chain. Score range is 0-100, where 100 is best practice. Due to inability to distinguish investee companies with negative effect on biodiversity-sensitive areas, reported figure is computed as share of investments in investee companies with score below 50.

#### Social and Employee, Respect for Human Rights, Anti-corruption and Anti-bribery Matters

	Indicator	Description	Unit of Measure	Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage (%)
Social and employee matters	10. Violations of UN Global Compact principles and Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD) Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises"	·	%	0	0	100
	11. Lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UN Global Compact principles and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	Share of investments in investee companies without policies to monitor compliance with the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises or grievance /complaints handling mechanisms to address violations of the UNGC principles or OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises	%	0	0	100

PAIs are computed year-to-date using monthly arithmetic averages



Source: Unigestion, S&P Trucost, Sustainalytics

### Social and Employee, Respect for Human Rights, Anti-corruption and Anti-bribery Matters

	Indicator	Description	Unit of Measure	Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage (%)
Social and employee matters	12. Unadjusted gender pay gap	Average unadjusted gender pay gap of investee companies	%	38	38	69
	13. Board gender diversity	Average ratio of female to male board members in investee companies	%	20	19	100
	14. Exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons)	Share of investments in investee companies involved in the manufacture or selling of controversial weapons	%	0	0	100

PAIs are computed year-to-date using monthly arithmetic averages



#### **Climate and Other Environment-related Indicators**

	Indicator	Description	Unit of Measure	Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage (%)
Emissions	Emissions of inorganic pollutants	Tonnes of inorganic pollutants equivalent per million USD invested, expressed as a weighted average	Tonnes	166	125	94
	2. Emissions of air pollutants	Tonnes of air pollutants equivalent per million USD invested, expressed as a weighted average	Tonnes	21,237	9,450	100
	3. Emissions of ozone depletion substances	Tonnes of ozone depletion substances equivalent per million USD invested, expressed as a weighted average	Tonnes	194	205	40

#### Social and Employee, Respect for Human Rights, Anti-corruption and Anti-bribery Matters

	Indicator	Description	Unit of Measure	Impact 2024	Impact 2023	Coverage (%)
Social and employee matters	6. Insufficient whistleblowe protection	Share of investments in entities without policies on the protection of whistleblowers	%	0	8	86

PAIs are computed year-to-date using monthly arithmetic averages



## **DISCLAIMER**

This document is provided to you on a confidential basis and must not be distributed, published, reproduced or disclosed, in whole or part, to any other person.

The information and data presented in this document may discuss general market activity or industry trends but is not intended to be relied upon as a forecast, research or investment advice. It is not a financial promotion and represents no offer, solicitation or recommendation of any kind, to invest in the strategies or in the investment vehicles it refers to. Some of the investment strategies described or alluded to herein may be construed as high risk and not readily realisable investments, which may experience substantial and sudden losses including total loss of investment.

The investment views, economic and market opinions or analysis expressed in this document present Uniquestion's judgement as at the date of publication without regard to the date on which you may access the information. There is no guarantee that these views and opinions expressed will be correct nor do they purport to be a complete description of the securities, markets and developments referred to in it. All information provided here is subject to change without notice. To the extent that this report contains statements about the future, such statements are forward-looking and subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the impact of competitive products, market acceptance risks and other risks.

Data and graphical information herein are for information only and may have been derived from third party sources. Although we believe that the information obtained from public and third party sources to be reliable, we have not independently verified it and we therefore cannot guarantee its accuracy or completeness. As a result, no representation or warranty, expressed or implied, is or will be made by Uniquestion in this respect and no responsibility or liability is or will be accepted. Unless otherwise stated, source is Uniquestion. Past performance is not a guide to future performance. All investments contain risks, including total loss for the investor.

Unigestion (UK) Ltd. is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Unigestion Asset Management (France) S.A. is authorised and regulated by the French "Autorité des Marchés Financiers" (AMF).

Unigestion Asset Management (Canada) Inc., with offices in Toronto and Montreal, is registered as a portfolio manager and/or exempt market dealer in nine provinces across Canada and also as an investment fund manager in Ontario, Quebec and Newfoundland & Labrador. Its principal regulator is the Ontario Securities Commission. Unigestion Asset Management (Düsseldorf) SA is co-regulated by the "Autorité des Marchés Financiers" (AMF) and the "Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht" (BAFIN).

Uniquestion SA has an international advisor exemption in Quebec, Saskatchewan and Ontario.

Uniquestion SA is authorised and regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA).

Uniquestion SA's assets are situated outside of Canada and, as such, there may be difficulty enforcing legal rights against Uniquestion SA.



## LEGAL ENTITIES DISSEMINATING THIS DOCUMENT

#### **United Kingdom**

This material is disseminated in the United Kingdom by Unigestion (UK) Ltd., which is authorized and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA").

This information is intended only for professional clients and eligible counterparties, as defined in MiFID directive and has therefore not been adapted to retail clients.

#### **European Union**

This material is disseminated in the European Union by Unigestion Asset Management (France) SA which is authorized and regulated by the French "Autorité des Marchés Financiers" ("AMF").

This information is intended only for professional clients and eligible counterparties, as defined in the MiFID directive and has therefore not been adapted to retail clients.

#### Canada

This material is disseminated in Canada by Unigestion Asset Management (Canada) Inc. which is registered as a portfolio manager and/or exempt market dealer in nine provinces across Canada and also as an investment fund manager in Ontario, Quebec and Newfoundland & Labrador. Its principal regulator is the Ontario Securities Commission ("OSC"). This material may also be distributed by Unigestion SA which has an international advisor exemption in Quebec, Saskatchewan and Ontario. Unigestion SA's assets are situated outside of Canada and, as such, there may be difficulty enforcing legal rights against it.

#### **Switzerland**

This material is disseminated in Switzerland by Unigestion SA which is authorized and regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority ("FINMA").



### **CONTACT US**



- For Clients, please contact: clients@unigestion.com
- For Consultants: consultants@unigestion.com
- For Press Relations: pressrelations@unigestion.com

IGENEVA	G	Е	N	7	V	Α
---------	---	---	---	---	---	---

Unigestion SA 8C avenue de Champel CP 387 CH 1211 Genève 12 Switzerland

#### **JERSEY CITY**

Unigestion (US) Ltd Plaza 10 – Harborside Financial Center, Suite 203 Jersey City, NJ 07311 USA

#### **TORONTO**

Unigestion Asset Management (Canada) Inc. TD Canada Trust Tower 161 Bay Street, 27th Floor Toronto, ON M5J 2S1 Canada

#### **MONTREAL**

Unigestion Asset Management (Canada) Inc. 1250 René-Lévesque Ouest Suite 2200 Montréal, QC H3B 4W8 Canada

#### **SINGAPORE**

Unigestion Asia Pte Ltd 152 Beach Road #23-05 Gateway East Singapore 189721 Singapore

#### **ZURICH**

Unigestion SA, Zurich Branch Sihlstrasse 20 CH 8021 Zürich Switzerland

#### **PARIS**

Unigestion Asset Management (France) SA 12 avenue Matignon 75008 Paris France

#### LONDON

Unigestion (UK) Ltd 2nd Floor MYO 123 Victoria Street London, SW1E 6DE United Kingdom

#### **JERSEY**

Unigestion (Jersey) GP Limited 50 La Colomberie St Helier Jersey JE2 4QB Channel Islands

#### DÜSSELDORF

Unigestion Asset Management (Düsseldorf) SA Poststraße 7 40213 Düsseldorf Germany

Unigestion SA is authorised and regulated by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA). Unigestion (UK) Ltd. is authorised and regulated by the UK Financial Conduct Authority (FCA) and is registered with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Unigestion Asset Management (France) S.A. is authorised and regulated by the French "Autorité des Marchés Financiers" (AMF). Unigestion Asset Management (Canada) Inc., with offices in Toronto and Montreal, is registered as a portfolio manager and/or exempt market dealer in nine provinces across Canada and also as an investment fund manager in Ontario, Quebec and Newfoundland & Labrador. Its principal regulator is the Ontario Securities Commission. Unigestion Asset Management (Düsseldorf) SA is co-regulated by the "Autorité des Marchés Financiers" (AMF) and the "Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht" (BAFIN).