

As of 31 Oct 2020

Portfolio: Uni-Global - Equities US

Benchmark: MSCI United States


Morningstar Sustainability Rating



Above Average

## Data Coverage

Data coverage is defined as the sum of the weight in portfolio and index with available data for each vendor.





## Unigestion ESG Score

Unigestion ESG Score is a proprietary computation shown in percentile. 10 is the best in class and 0 the worst in class. Unigestion Trend is the difference between the average improvement of the company over the short term (6 months) and the long term (24 months).

Source: Unigestion, Sustainalytics, TruCost.


## Score Distribution



## Score Segregation

Unigestion ESG Score is comprised of 35% environmental criteria, 15% social criteria and 50% governance criteria.

ESG score ranking is used in portfolio construction and the building blocks are as below:



## Top/Bottom Stocks

### Top Contributors - Portfolio

Company Name	Weight	Score
Autozone Inc	1.35%	9.7
New York Times Co -cl A	1.40%	9.7
Dolby Laboratories Inc	1.43%	9.7

### Worst Contributors - Portfolio

Company Name	Weight	Score
Smucker (Jm) Co	1.45%	2.1
Hormel Foods Corp	0.85%	2.0
Amazon.com Inc	1.56%	1.9

### Top Contributors - Benchmark

Company Name	Weight	Score
Jones Lang Lasalle Inc	0.02%	10.0
Cbre Group Inc	0.06%	10.0
Keysight Technologies Inc	0.07%	9.9

### Worst Contributors - Benchmark

Company Name	Weight	Score
General Electric Co	0.22%	0.1
Boeing Co	0.27%	0.1
Corteva Inc- Spn	0.09%	0.0

## Carbon Footprint



Carbon intensity is the total emissions divided by revenue (in tons of CO2 equivalent by USD millions of sales). It includes direct and first tier indirect emissions. i.e. . Scope 1 emissions (Direct Emissions) + Scope 2 (Emissions of Energy suppliers) + Direct upstream Scope 3 (Emissions of other direct suppliers).

	Portfolio (tCO2/mio USD sales)	Benchmark (tCO2/mio USD sales)
Total footprint (direct and first tier indirect)	99	199
Scope 1 Intensity (own emissions)	26	121
Scope 2 intensity (Emissions of energy suppliers)	29	32
Scope 3 Upstream intensity (other indirect emissions)	123	124

Source: TruCost, Unigestion

## Product Involvement

Product involvement is an approximate percentage of total revenue of companies' involvement in a range of products and business activities for screening purposes. The total levels for each involvement below is the weighted average of involvement levels in percentage of revenue and weight of the portfolio or benchmark

Product Classification		Portfolio (%)	Benchmark (%)	Active (%)
Restricted	Adult Entertainment	-	-	-
	Controversial Weapons	-	2.1	-2.1
	Predatory Lending	-	-	-
	Thermal Coal	-	0.5	-0.5
	Tobacco Products	-	0.6	-0.6
Monitored	Abortion	0.6	2.2	-1.5
	Alcoholic Beverages	-	0.2	-0.2
	Animal Testing	21.5	16.9	4.7
	Arctic Oil & Gas Exploration	-	-	-
	Cannabis	-	-	-
	Contraceptives	4.4	3.9	0.4
	Fur and Specialty Leather	-	-	-
	Gambling	-	0.1	-0.1
	Genetically Modified Plants and Seeds	-	0.0	0.0
	Human Embryonic Stem Cell and Fetal Tissue	5.8	5.8	0.1
	Military Contracting	0.6	1.1	-0.5
	Nuclear	-	0.4	-0.4
	Oil & Gas	-	4.1	-4.1
	Oil Sands	-	-	-
	Palm Oil	-	-	-
	Pesticides	-	0.1	-0.1
	Pork Products	0.4	0.0	0.4
	Riot Control	-	0.8	-0.8
	Shale Energy	-	0.3	-0.3
	Small Arms	-	-	-
Whale Meat	-	-	-	

Source: Sustainalytics, Unigestion

## Controversies

Controversies identify involvement in incidents that may negatively impact the shareholders, the environment or company's operations.

It is the weighted average of controversy scores (1 = low, 2 = moderate, 3 = significant, 4 = high, 5 = severe) and weight of portfolio and benchmark. E stands for Environmental, S for Social and G for Governance. Controversies are used to penalize the ESG score within our process.

Source: Sustainalytics, Unigestion

		Portfolio	Benchmark	Active
Environmental	Environmental Supply Chain Incidents	0.3	0.3	
	Operations Incidents	0.5	0.6	-0.1
	Product & Service Incidents	0.3	0.4	-0.1
Social	Customer Incidents	1.5	2.1	-0.7
	Employee Incidents	1.0	1.4	-0.4
	Social Supply Chain Incidents	0.6	0.9	-0.3
	Society & Community Incidents	0.7	1.0	-0.3
Governance	Business Ethics Incidents	1.0	1.6	-0.7
	Governance Incidents	0.4	0.7	-0.3
	Public Policy Incidents	0.2	0.3	-0.1

## Highest Controversies

### Portfolio

Company Name	Weight	Level	Controversy Subject
Wal-mart Stores Inc	3.13%	4	Employee Incidents/Social Supply Chain Incidents
Newmont Mining	2.11%	3	Society & Community Incidents
At&t Inc	2.05%	3	Employee Incidents/Customer Incidents

### Benchmark

Company Name	Weight	Level	Controversy Subject
Wells Fargo & Co	0.29%	5	Business Ethics Incidents
Pg&e Corp	0.04%	5	Customer Incidents
Alphabet Inc	3.37%	4	Customer Incidents



## Investment Universe Exclusions

In line with our "Responsible Investment" policy, we have 2 Pillars of bottom-up considerations starting with initial investment universe of the fund:

### Pillar I: Norm-based Screening

Norm-based screening is the process of excluding companies associated with key social or environmental issues.

According to the European Sustainable Investment Forum, it is the "screening of investments according to their compliance with international standards and norms".

### Pillar II: Exclusionary Screening

Negative or exclusionary screening is the process of excluding companies from an investment universe based on our expectations regarding specific ESG-related risks.

	Number of excluded companies	Excluded weight as percentage	
Pillar I	Adult Entertainment	0	0.00%
	Controversial Weapons	18	2.06%
	Predatory Lending	3	0.31%
	Thermal Coal	22	1.31%
	Tobacco Producers	2	0.57%
	UNGC non-compliant	6	1.62%
Pillar II	High-carbon emitters	23	1.44%
	Non-covered	38	0.77%
	Worst-in-class	82	4.54%
Total (unique)		157	9.92%
Universe		1516	100.00%
% Universe		10.36%	9.92%

*This section does not include client specific exclusions.*

Source: Sustainalytics, MSCI, Unigestion

## Sustainable Development Alignment (SDG)

SDG score indicates to what extent the portfolio or benchmark are aligned with 17 UN defined goals in terms of production and operation/management. Scores are from 0 to 100, the higher score the higher the alignment. It is the weighted average of the score. SDG scores are for monitoring purposes only and are not used in portfolio construction.

Source: Sustainalytics, Unigestion

### Overall Score

